TECHNICAL SUPPORT SECTION DOCUMENT

JAN 2 7 1992

QUALITY ASSURANCE SECTION ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES DIV.

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY
QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN ADDENDUM
LENZ OIL SERVICE, INC.
LEMONT, ILLINOIS

REVISION: 1A

SUBMITTED BY:

LENZ OIL SETTLING RESPONDENTS

JANUARY 22, 1992

PREPARED BY:

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT-NORTH CENTRAL, INC.
102 WILMOT ROAD, SUITE 300
DEERFIELD, ILLINOIS 60015

PROJECT NO. 0252

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | <u>Page</u> |
|------|--------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| LIST | r of T | ABLES | |
| 1.0 | PRO | JECT DESCRIPTION | 1-1 |
| | 1.1 | Site Description and History | 1-2 |
| | 1.2 | Target Compounds | 1-2 |
| | 1.3 | Project Objectives | 1-4 |
| | | 1.3.1 Specific Objectives | 1-4 |
| | | 1.3.2 Intended Data Uses | 1-6 |
| | | 1.3.3 Data Quality Objectives | 1-6 |
| | 1.4 | Sample Network and Rationale | 1-7 |
| | 1.5 | Project Schedule | 1-7 |
| 2.0 | PRO | JECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILTIY | 2-1 |
| | 2.1 | Management | 2-1 |
| | 2.2 | Field Activity | 2-2 |
| | 2.3 | Laboratory Analysis | 2-4 |
| | 2.4 | Quality Assurance Organization | 2-6 |
| | 2.5 | Performance and System Audits | 2-6 |

| | | | <u>Page</u> |
|-----|------------------------------|---|-------------|
| 3.0 | QUALITY ASSURANCE OBJECTIVES | | 3-1 |
| | 3.1 3.2 | Level of Quality Control Effort Accuracy, Precision, and Sensitivity of Analyses | 3-1 3-1 |
| | | 3.2.1 Field Instrument | 3-1 |
| | | 3.2.2 Laboratory Instruments | 3-1 |
| | 3.3 | Data Completeness, Representativeness, and Comparability | 3-2 |
| | 3.4 | Documentation | 3-2 |
| | 3.5 | Quality Control Requirements | 3-2 |
| 4.0 | SAM | IPLING PROCEDURES | 4-1 |
| | 4.1 | Sample Locations and Frequency | 4-1 |
| | | 4.1.1 Monitoring Well Sampling | 4-1 |
| | | 4.1.2 On-Site Soil Sampling | 4-1 |
| | | 4.1.3 Background Soil Sampling | 4-2 |
| | | 4.1.4 Surface Water Sampling | 4-2 |
| | | 4.1.5 NAPL Sampling | 4-2 |
| | | 4.1.6 Residential Well Sampling | 4-2 |
| | 4.2 | Sample Designation | 4-3 |
| | 4.3 | Sampling Equipment and Procedures | 4-3 |

| | | | <u>Page</u> |
|-------|--------|---|-------------|
| | | 4.3.1 Monitoring Network Design and | 4-3 |
| | | Well Installation 4.3.2 Ground Water Sampling | 4-3 |
| | | 4.3.3 On-Site Soil Sampling | 4-4 |
| | | 4.2.4 Pookaround Soil Compling | 4-4 |
| | | 4.3.4 Background Soil Sampling 4.3.5 Surface Water Sampling | 4-4 |
| | | 4.3.6 NAPL Sampling | 4-4 |
| | | 4.3.7 Residential Well Sampling | 4-4 |
| | 4.4 | Sample Handling and Analysis | 4-5 |
| | | 4.4.1 Sample Preparation, Handling, and Shipment | 4-5 |
| 5.0 | SAM | IPLE CUSTODY | 5-1 |
| | 5.1 | Field Custody Procedures | 5-1 |
| | | Laboratory Custody Procedures | 5-1 |
| | | Final Evidence File | 5-1 |
| | G. 1 Y | AND A WICH PROCEDURES | <i>(</i> 1 |
| 5.0 | CAL | IBRATION PROCEDURES | 6-1 |
| | 6.1 | Field Instruments | 6-1 |
| | 6.2 | Laboratory Equipment | 6-1 |
| 7.0 | A NI A | LYTICAL PROCEDURES | 7-1 |
| · • U | CALLET | LI IICAL INOCEDUNES | /-1 |

| | | | <u>Page</u> |
|------|-------------------------------|--|-------------|
| 8.0 | DAT | A REDUCTION, VALIDATION, AND REPORTING | 8-3 |
| | 8.1 | Documentation | 8-1 |
| | 8.2 | Data Reduction | 8-2 |
| | 8.3 | Data Validation | 8-3 |
| | 8.4 | Reporting | 8-3 |
| 9.0 | INTE | ERNAL QUALITY CONTROL CHECKS | 9-1 |
| | 9.1 | Field Quality Control | 9-1 |
| | 9.2 | Laboratory Quality Control | 9-1 |
| 10.0 | PERFORMANCE AND SYSTEM AUDITS | | 10-1 |
| | 10.1 | Internal Audits | 10-1 |
| | | 10.1.1 Field Activities | 10-1 |
| | | 10.1.2 Laboratory Activities | 10-1 |
| | 10.2 | External Audits | 10-2 |
| 11.0 | PRE | VENTATIVE MAINTENANCE | 11-1 |
| | 11.1 | Field Equipment | 11-1 |
| | 11.2 | Laboratory Equipment | 11-1 |

| | | | <u>Page</u> |
|------|------|--|-------------|
| 12.0 | | CIFIC ROUTINE PROCEDURES USED TO ASSESS A PRECISION, ACCURACY, AND COMPLETENESS | 12-1 |
| 13.0 | COR | RECTIVE ACTION | 13-1 |
| | 13.1 | Immediate Corrective Action in the Field | 13-1 |
| | 13.2 | Immediate Corrective Action in the Laboratory | 13-1 |
| | 13.3 | Other Corrective Action | 13-1 |
| 14.0 | OIJA | LITY ASSURANCE REPORTS TO MANAGEMENT | 14-1 |

APPENDICES

| A | Standard Operating Procedures for Non-CLP Analytical Methods |
|---|--|
| В | General Standard Operating Procedures for Skinner & Sherman Laboratory |
| С | General Standard Operating Procedures for Rocky Mountain Analytical Laboratory |
| D | General Standard Operating Procedures for CompuChem Laboratories, Inc. |
| Е | General Standard Operating Procedures for Warzyn, Inc. |
| F | General Standard Operating Procedures for Hauser Laboratory, Inc. |

LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|---------------|--|
| 1-1 | TCLP Target Parameters and Project-Required Detection Limits for NAPL Analysis |
| 1-2 | TCL Organic and TCL Inorganic Target Parameters and Project-Required Detection Limits for Residential Well Samples |
| 1-3 | Summary of Phase II Sampling and Analysis Program |
| 3-1 | Project-Required Precision and Accuracy Requirements for Laboratory Analyses |
| 4-1 | Sample Volume, Containers, and Preservation Techniques for Water and Soil Samples |
| 10-1 | Summary of Laboratory Performance and System Audits |
| | |

Revision: 1A January 22, 1992

Page 1-1

1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) Addendum has been prepared by ERM-North Central, Inc. (ERM-North Central) to supplement the approved November 12, 1990 QAPP for the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) prepared for the Lenz Oil, Inc. Site, located in Lemont, Illinois. This Addendum is being submitted to modify the approved QAPP for the additional RI/FS Phase II activities as directed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). The project description provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented except that the following additional activities will be performed during Phase II:

- o A neighboring residential well will be sampled and analyzed,
- o The nonaqueous phase liquid (NAPL) will be sampled and analyzed,
- o A shallow soil boring and possibly a shallow monitoring well will be installed,
- o At least one residential well will be abandoned,
- A second round of ground water samples from the monitoring wells will be collected and analyzed,

- o The surface water in the drainage ditch northwest of the site will be resampled and analyzed for the TAL metals to replace rejected metals data from the Phase I samples,
- o Four of the Phase I soil borings will be resampled and analyzed,
- o Background soil samples will be collected and analyzed, and
- o Additional on-site soil samples will be collected and analyzed.

The Phase II investigative tasks are intended to further characterize the nature and extent of soil, surface water, and ground water contamination at the site. The scope of this QAPP Addendum applies only to the Phase II tasks described in the Phase II Work Plan (Parts A and B). Therefore, only the necessary modifications and/or additions to the existing approved QAPP are presented.

1.1 Site Description and History

The site description and history are presented in the approved Technical Memorandum No. 1, dated May 1, 1991.

1.2 Target Compounds

The discussion of target compounds provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with the following exceptions:

Revision: 1A January 22, 1992

Page 1-3

The NAPL matrix will be analyzed for Target Compound List (TCL) organics,

Target Analyte List (TAL) total inorganics, Toxicity Characteristics Leaching

Procedure (TCLP) organics and inorganics, specific gravity, and viscosity. Table

1-1 in the approved QAPP lists the TCL organic and TAL inorganic target

parameters and their associated Contract Required Detection Limits for

soil/sediment and water samples. Table 1-1 in this document lists the TCLP

target parameters for the NAPL and the associated project-required detection

limits.

The Round Two ground water samples from the monitoring wells will be

analyzed for TCL organics and TAL inorganics. Tentatively Identified

Compounds (TICs) will be included in the Contract Laboratory Program (CLP)

Routine Analytical Service (RAS) Statement of Work (SOW) for volatile and

semivolatile analyses. Ground water samples from the residential well will be

analyzed for TCL organics and TAL inorganics using the lower detection limits

listed on Table 1-2. The monitoring well samples will be analyzed for both total

metals and dissolved metals; however, the residential well samples will be

analyzed for total metals, but not dissolved metals.

Samples analyzed for TCL organics will be analyzed in accordance with the CLP

SOW OLM01.0 including the revisions in OLM01.1, or the most current SOW

for TCL organic analysis. Samples analyzed for TAL inorganics will be analyzed

in accordance with CLP SOW ILM01.0 or the most current SOW for TAL

inorganics analysis.

Environmental Resources Management - North Central, inc.

Revision: 1A January 22, 1992

Page 1-4

The TCLP sample will be extracted in accordance with the Rocky Mountain

Analytical Laboratory (RMAL) Standard Operating Procedure (SOP), which is

based on USEPA's SW-846 Method 1311 and is included in Appendix A. The

TCLP sample extract will be analyzed for the TCLP organics and inorganics in

accordance with the CLP SOWs OLM01.0 and ILM01.0, or the most current

SOW versions available at the time of sampling.

1.3 Project Objectives

The project objectives provided in the approved QAPP dated November 12, 1990 are applicable

as presented except for the information added to the following subsections.

1.3.1 Specific Objectives

The general objectives provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, are

applicable as presented; however, the following objectives are specific to the Phase II

investigation.

o Reevaluating the stratigraphy in the vicinity of well cluster G104

to further define ground water flow.

o Collecting sufficient data to characterize the physical and chemical

nature of the NAPL.

Environmental Resources Management - North Central, Inc.

- o Collecting organic ground water data of sufficient quantity and quality to characterize the nature and extent of any site-derived organic ground water contamination.
- o Collecting inorganic ground water data of sufficient quantity and quality to characterize seasonal variations in the extent of any site-derived inorganic ground water contamination.
- o Collecting ground water analytical data of sufficient quality to evaluate the potential risk to human health posed by drinking ground water from a neighboring residential well.
- o Minimizing the spread of ground water contamination by closing and abandoning contaminated private wells.
- o Collecting organic and inorganic soil data of sufficient quantity and quality to characterize the nature and extent of site-derived soil contamination.
- O Collecting inorganic surface water data of sufficient quantity and quality to characterize the nature of any site-derived inorganic contamination in the drainage ditch.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 1-6

1.3.2 Intended Data Uses

The discussion of intended data uses provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with the following additions:

- o Specific gravity and viscosity used to determine the physical character of the NAPL to establish remedial requirements.
- o TCLP of the NAPL used to determine the chemical nature of the NAPL to identify remediation alternatives.
- o Laboratory analysis of residential well samples used to verify the extent of ground water contamination northeast of the site and to evaluate the potential risk to human health caused by drinking ground water from a neighboring residential well.

1.3.3 Data Quality Objectives

The Data Quality Objectives provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, are applicable as presented with the following additions:

- o TCLP extraction of NAPL Level III.
- o Laboratory analysis of TCLP extract Level IV.
- o Specific gravity and viscosity of NAPL Level III.

Revision: 1A January 22, 1992

Page 1-7

o Laboratory analysis of TCL organics and TAL inorganics in

ground water samples from a residential well - Level V.

1.4 Sample Network and Rationale

The Phase II sample network and rationale are provided in the Phase II Work Plan (Parts A and

B). Specific sampling locations for each of the sample matrices are shown on figures in the

Phase II Work Plan. A summary of the Phase II Sampling and Analysis Program is provided

in Table 1-3.

1.5 Project Schedule

The project schedule provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is not

applicable and should be replaced with the project schedule provided in the Phase II Work Plan-

Part A. Work progress reports will be prepared and submitted to the USEPA during the course

of the project according to the schedule specified in the Administrative Consent Order.

Revision: 1A January 22, 1992

Page 2-1

2.0 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITY

The discussion of the project organization and responsibility provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with the following additions to the

appropriate subsections.

2.1 Management

Section 2.1 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with

the following personnel changes and additions:

o Dave Dollins, the IEPA Project Manager, has been replaced by

Tracy Fitzgerald of IEPA.

o Lisa Snow, the Laboratory Quality Assurance (QA) Director for

Skinner & Sherman, Inc., will coordinate and oversee the

laboratory TAL inorganic analyses of the monitoring well, surface

water, and soil samples.

o Gary Torf, the Laboratory QA Director for Rocky Mountain

Analytical Laboratory (RMAL), will coordinate and oversee the

laboratory TCL semivolatiles and pesticide/PCBs analyses of the

monitoring well samples and the laboratory TCLP, TCL organic,

TAL inorganic, and specific gravity analyses of the NAPL.

Environmental Resources Management-North Central, inc.

- o Mick O'Sadnick, the QA Director for Hauser Laboratories, Inc. (Hauser), will coordinate and oversee the laboratory viscosity analyses of the NAPL.
- o Robert Whitehead, the Laboratory QA Director for CompuChem Laboratories, Inc. (CompuChem), will coordinate and oversee the laboratory TCL organic analyses of the residential well samples.
- o Richard Mealy, the Laboratory QA Director for Warzyn, Inc., will coordinate and oversee the laboratory TAL inorganic analyses of the residential well samples.

2.2 Field Activity

Section 2.2 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with the following additions to the lists of the ERM-North Central and IEPA subcontractors:

ERM-North Central Subcontractors

Subcontractor

<u>Service</u>

Skinner & Sherman, Inc.

CLP RAS analyses of TAL metals and cyanide in the monitoring well samples.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 2-3

Rocky Mountain Analytical Laboratory

CLP RAS analyses of TAL semivolatiles and pesticide/PCBs in the monitoring well samples; CLP RAS analyses of TCL volatiles, semivolatiles, and pesticide/PCBs, TAL metals and cyanide, and specific gravity in the NAPL sample; and TCLP extraction and analysis of the TCLP organics and inorganics in the NAPL sample.

Hauser Laboratories

Viscosity analyses of the NAPL.

ARDL, Inc.

CLP RAS analyses of the TCL volatiles in the monitoring well samples.

CompuChem Laboratories, Inc.

CLP analyses of low-concentration TCL volatiles, semivolatiles, and pesticide/PCBs in the residential well samples.

Warzyn, Inc.

Analyses of TAL metals and cyanide in the residential well samples.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 2-4

IEPA Subcontractors

Subcontractor

Service

Skinner & Sherman, Inc.

CLP RAS analyses of TAL metals in on-site soil, background soil, and surface water samples; and CLP RAS analyses of TAL cyanide in on-site background soil samples.

ARDL, Inc.

CLP RAS analyses of TCL volatiles, semivolatiles, and pesticide/PCBs on-site and background soil samples.

2.3 Laboratory Analysis

Section 2.3 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is not applicable to the Phase II work and should be replaced with the following:

RMAL, located in Arvada, Colorado, will perform the TCL semivolatile and pesticide/PCB analyses of the monitoring well samples and the TCL volatile, semivolatile, and pesticide/PCBs analyses of the NAPL sample in accordance with the CLP SOW OLM01.0, or the most current version. The TAL metals and cyanide analyses of the NAPL will be performed by RMAL in accordance with the CLP SOW ILM01.0, or the most current version. RMAL will also perform the TCLP extraction of the NAPL sample (in accordance with the SOP provided

Revision: 1A January 22, 1992

Page 2-5

in Appendix A) and analyze the extract for TCLP organics and inorganics using

the CLP SOWs OLM01.0 and ILM01.0 or the most current version. The specific

gravity analysis of the NAPL sample will be performed by RMAL in accordance

with the SOP provided in Appendix A.

ARDL, of Mount Vernon, Illinois, will analyze the monitoring well samples for

TCL volatiles using the CLP SOW OLM01.0 or the most recent version. ARDL

will also analyze the on-site and background soil samples for TCL volatiles,

semivolatiles, and pesticide/PCBs using the CLP SOW OLM01.0 or the most

recent version.

Skinner & Sherman, of Waltham, Massachusetts, will perform the TAL metals

and cyanide analyses of the monitoring well, on-site soil, and background soil

samples in accordance with CLP SOW ILM01.0 or the most recent version.

They will also analyze the surface water samples for those TAL metals that were

rejected during the Phase I investigation using the CLP SOW ILM01.0 or the

most recent version.

Hauser Laboratories, of Boulder, Colorado, will analyze the NAPL sample for

viscosity using the SOP provided in Appendix A.

CompuChem Laboratories, Inc. of Waltham, Massachusetts, will analyze the

residential well samples for the TCL volatiles, semivolatiles, and pesticide/PCBs

using the CLP low concentration SOW OLC01.0, or the most recent SOW

version.

Environmental Resources Management - North Central, Inc.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 2-6

Warzyn, Inc., of Madison, Wisconsin, will analyze the residential well samples for TAL metals and cyanide using the methods for low concentration analyses described in the SOP provided in Appendix A.

2.4 Quality Assurance Organization

Section 2.4 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with the following addition:

Internal Laboratory Audits: RMAL, Hauser, CompuChem, Warzyn, Skinner & Sherman, and ARDL QA Officers.

2.5 Performance and System Audits

Section 2.5 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

3.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE OBJECTIVES

Section 3.0 provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

3.1 Level of Quality Control Effort

Section 3.1 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

3.2 Accuracy, Precision, and Sensitivity of Analyses

Section 3.2 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented except for the additional information that is included in the following subsections.

3.2.1 Field Instruments

Section 3.2.1 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

3.2.2 Laboratory Instruments

Section 3.2.2 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with the following addition:

The Phase II activities will use the CLP SOW OLM01.0 for organics analysis and the CLP SOW ILM01.0 for inorganics analysis or the most current SOWs in effect at the time sampling begins. The accuracy and precision requirements for

Revision: 1A

January 22, 1992

Page 3-2

the TCL and TAL parameters are specified in the CLP SOWs. The accuracy and

precision requirements for the low concentration TCL parameters are specified

in the CLP SOW OLC01.0. The accuracy and precision requirements for the low

concentration TAL parameters are specified in Warzyn's SOP for low-

concentration analyses (Appendix A). A summary of the project-specific

accuracy and precision requirements for all Phase II laboratory analyses is

presented in Table 3-1.

3.3 Data Completeness, Representativeness, and Comparability

Section 3.3 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

3.4 Documentation

Section 3.4 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

3.5 Quality Control Requirements

Section 3.5 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

Revision: 1A January 22, 1992

Page 4-1

4.0 SAMPLING PROCEDURES

The sampling and analysis procedures presented in the approved Sampling and Analysis Plan,

dated November 12, 1990, are applicable as presented except for the additions and clarifications

presented in the following subsections.

4.1 Sample Locations and Frequency

The discussion of sample locations and frequency presented in the approved Sampling and

Analysis Plan, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with the following changes:

Tables 3-1 and 3-2 in the approved Sampling and Analysis Plan are superseded

by Table 1-3 in the QAPP Addendum.

4.1.1 Monitoring Well Sampling

The Phase II monitoring well sample locations and the rationale for selecting these locations are

presented in Sections 2.3 and 4.3 of the Phase II Work Plan-Part A.

4.1.2 On-Site Soil Sampling

The Phase II on-site soil sampling locations and the rationale for selecting these locations is

presented in Section 3.2 of the Phase II Work Plan-Part B.

Environmental Resources Management-North Central, Inc.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 4-2

4.1.3 Background Soil Sampling

The Phase II background soil sampling locations and the rationale for selecting these locations is presented in the Phase II Work Plan-Part B, dated October 7, 1991.

4.1.4 Surface Water Sampling

The surface water sample locations and the rationale for selecting these locations presented in the approved Sampling and Analysis Plan, dated November 12, 1990, are applicable as presented.

4.1.5 NAPL Sampling

The NAPL sample location and the rationale for selecting this location is presented in Sections 2.3 and 4.2 of the Phase II Work Plan-Part A.

4.1.6 Residential Well Sampling

The residential well sample location and the rationale for selecting this location is presented in Sections 2.4 and 4.4 of the Phase II Work Plan-Part A.

Revision: 1A January 22, 1992

Page 4-3

4.2 Sample Designation

The sample designation procedures presented in the approved Sampling and Analysis Plan, dated

November 12, 1990, are applicable as presented except for the modifications presented in

Section 3.3.1 of the Phase II Work Plan-Part B.

4.3 Sampling Equipment and Procedures

The discussion of sampling equipment and procedures presented in the approved Sampling and

Analysis Plan is applicable as presented with the additions to the following subsections.

4.3.1 Monitoring Network Design and Well Installation

The monitoring network design and well installation procedures presented in the approved

Sampling and Analysis Plan, dated November 12, 1990, are applicable as presented except for

the changes outlined in Section 4.1 of the Phase II Work Plan-Part A.

4.3.2 Ground Water Sampling

The ground water sampling procedures presented in the approved Sampling and Analysis Plan,

dated November 12, 1990, are applicable are presented except for the changes presented in

Section 4.3 of the Phase II Work Plan-Part A.

Environmental Resources Management - North Central, inc.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 4-4

4.3.3 On-Site Soil Sampling

The on-site soil sampling procedures presented in the approved Sampling and Analysis Plan, dated November 12, 1990, are applicable as presented except as modified by Section 3.2.2 and 3.2.3 of the Phase II Work Plan-Part B.

4.3.4 Background Soil Sampling

The background soil sampling procedures are presented in Section 3.2.3 of the Phase II Work Plan-Part B.

4.3.5 Surface Water Sampling

The surface water sampling procedures presented in the approved Sampling and Analysis Plan, dated November 12, 1990, are applicable as presented.

4.3.6 NAPL Sampling

The NAPL sampling procedures are presented in Section 4.2 of the Phase II Work Plan-Part A.

4.3.7 Residential Well Sampling

The residential well sampling procedures are presented in Section 4.4 of the Phase II Work Plan-Part A.

Environmental Resources Management - North Central, Inc.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 4-5

4.4 Sampling Handling and Analysis

The sampling handling and analysis procedures presented in the approved Sampling and Analysis Plan, dated November 12, 1990, are applicable as presented.

4.4.1 Sample Preparation, Handling, and Shipment

The sample preparation, handling, and shipment procedures presented in the approved Sampling and Analysis Plan, dated November 12, 1990, are applicable as presented except as modified in Sections 4.1 to 4.5 of the Phase II Work Plan-Part A and Sections 3.3.3 to 3.3.5 of the Phase II Work Plan-Part B. Table 4-1 summarizes the sample preservation, handling, and bottle requirements.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 5-1

5.0 SAMPLE CUSTODY

The sample custody procedures provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, are applicable as presented except as noted in the following subsections.

5.1 Field Custody Procedures

Section 5.1 and its subsections provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, are applicable as presented.

5.2 Laboratory Custody Procedures

Section 5.2 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with the following addition:

The chain-of-custody procedures to be followed by Skinner & Sherman, RMAL, CompuChem, Warzyn, and Hauser are presented in Appendices B, C, D, E, and F, respectively.

5.3 Final Evidence File

Section 5.3 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992

Page 6-1

6.0 CALIBRATION PROCEDURES

The calibration procedures provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, are

applicable as presented with the exception of the following additions to specific subsections.

6.1 Field Instruments

Section 6.1 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

6.2 Laboratory Equipment

Section 6.2 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with

the following addition:

RMAL, CompuChem, Warzyn, and Skinner & Sherman will be responsible for

the calibration and maintenance of the analytical equipment used for their

laboratory analyses. RMAL and Skinner & Sherman will follow exactly the

calibration and maintenance procedures outlined in the CLP SOW OLM01.0 for

organics and the CLP SOW ILM01.0 for inorganics or the most current versions

of these SOWs at the date sampling begins. For analysis of the TCLP extract,

RMAL will follow the calibration and maintenance procedures specified in the

CLP SOW OLM01.0 for organics and CLP SOW ILM01.0 for inorganics, or the

most current SOW versions. For analysis for the TCL organics in the residential

well samples, CompuChem will follow exactly the calibration and maintenance

procedures outlined in the CLP SOW OLC01.0 for organics or the most current

version of this SOW at the date sampling begins. For analysis of the TAL

Environmental Resources Management - North Central, Inc.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 6-2

inorganics in the residential well samples, Warzyn will follow the calibration and maintenance procedures described in their SOP for low-concentration inorganic analyses (Appendix A). For analysis of the viscosity of the NAPL, Hauser will follow exactly the calibration and maintenance procedures described in their viscosity SOP (Appendix A). For analysis of the specific gravity of the NAPL, RMAL will follow exactly the calibration and maintenance procedures described in their specific gravity SOP (Appendix A).

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992

Page 7-1

7.0 ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

The analytical procedures provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, are

applicable as presented except for the following additions:

The CLP TCL and TAL analyses will be conducted by RMAL, ARDL, and

Skinner & Sherman using methods specified in the RAS SOW OLM01.0 for

organics and the RAS SOW ILM01.0 for inorganics, or the most current versions

that are used by CLP laboratories at the time sampling begins.

RMAL will perform the TCLP extraction of the NAPL sample by following their

TCLP extraction SOP included in Appendix A. The TCLP extract will be

analyzed using the exact methods specified in the RAS SOW OLM01.0 for

organics and the RAS SOW ILM01.0 for inorganics, or the most current versions

of these SOWs at the time sampling begins. Hauser will perform viscosity

analysis of the NAPL sample in accordance with their viscosity SOP (Appendix

A). RMAL will perform the specific gravity analysis of the NAPL sample in

accordance with their specific gravity SOP (Appendix A).

CompuChem will analyze the residential well samples for TCL organics using the

methods for low-concentration organic analyses specified in the CLP SOW

OLC01.0 for organics or the most current SOW version. Warzyn will analyze

the residential well samples for low-concentration TAL metals and cyanide in

accordance with their SOP for low-concentration inorganic analyses (Appendix

A).

Revision: 1A January 22, 1992

Page 8-1

8.0 DATA REDUCTION, VALIDATION, AND REPORTING

The data reduction, validation, and reporting discussion provided in the approved QAPP, dated

November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented except for the following:

Skinner & Sherman, RMAL, CompuChem, Warzyn, and Hauser will be

responsible for reducing and reporting their own analytical data. Environmental

Standards, Inc. will validate the Round Two ground water, NAPL, and residential

well data.

8.1 Documentation

Section 8.1 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with

the following addition:

The laboratory documentation procedures utilized by Skinner & Sherman, RMAL,

CompuChem, Warzyn, and Hauser are provided in Appendices B, C, D, E, and

F, respectively. The non-CLP documentational procedures utilized by these

laboratories are provided in Appendix A.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 8-2

8.2 Data Reduction

Section 8.2 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with the following addition:

Analytical data reduction will be carried out in-house by Skinner & Sherman, RMAL, ARDL, CompuChem, Warzyn, and Hauser on their respective data sets. Reduction of all TCL-organic and TAL-inorganic data will be completed by RMAL, ARDL, and Skinner & Sherman in exact accordance with the CLP SOW OLM01.0 for organics and the CLP SOW ILM01.0 for inorganics, or the most recent SOW versions. Because the TCLP extract will be analyzed in strict accordance with the CLP SOW OLM01.0 for organics and SOW ILM01.0 for inorganics, the TCLP data will be reduced by RMAL using the applicable procedures outlined in these CLP SOWs. Reduction of the TCL organic, TAL inorganic, and TCLP data ensures that the actual quantities reported are accurate and appropriately qualified. The reported quantities will be as directed, qualified or not, by the laboratory. Compounds detected in blanks will not be subtracted from the analytical results of investigative samples and will be reported separately.

Reduction of the TCL low-concentration organic data will be performed by CompuChem in accordance with the CLP SOW OLC01.0 for organics, or the most recent SOW version. Warzyn will reduce the TAL low-concentration inorganic data in accordance with their SOP for low-concentration inorganic analyses (Appendix A). The data reduction procedures used by Hauser for the viscosity analyses are presented in their SOP for this analysis (Appendix A). The

Revision: 1A January 22, 1992

Page 8-3

data reduction procedures used by RMAL for the specific gravity analyses are

presented in their SOW for this analysis (Appendix A).

8.3 Data Validation

The data validation description provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is

applicable as presented with the following addition:

RMAL, ARDL, CompuChem, Warzyn, and Skinner & Sherman will perform

laboratory analyses as part of the Phase II RI. The TCLP analytical data as well

as the low-concentration TCL organic and TAL inorganic data will be validated

by Environmental Standards, Inc. using the USEPA documents "Laboratory Data

Functional Guidelines for Evaluation of Organic Analyses" (2/88) and

"Laboratory Data Functional Guidelines for Evaluation of Inorganics Analyses"

(7/88) or the most current versions at the time sampling begins. The viscosity

and specific gravity results will not be validated.

8.4 Reporting

The reporting requirements provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, are

applicable as presented except as follows:

The reporting requirements (i.e., data deliverables) described in the CLP SOW

OLM01.0 for organics and CLP SOW ILM01.0 for inorganics, or the most

current SOWs available at the time sampling begins, will be followed for

reporting the TCL and TAL data for the monitoring well, NAPL, surface water,

Environmental Resources Management - Morth Central, inc.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 8-4

on-site soil, and background soil samples. The reporting requirements described in the CLP SOW OLC01.0 for organics and CLP SOW ILC01.0 for inorganics, or the most current SOWs available at the time sampling begins, will be followed for reporting the TCL and TAL data for the residential well samples.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A

January 22, 1992

Page 9-1

9.0 INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL CHECKS

The internal quality control checks provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990,

are applicable as presented with the following additions to the applicable subsections.

9.1 Field Quality Control

Section 9.1 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

9.2 Laboratory Quality Control

Section 9.2 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with

the following addition:

RMAL, ARDL, and Skinner & Sherman will perform the internal quality control

checks, laboratory performance, and system audits specified in the CLP RAS

SOWs (OLM01.0 and OLM01.1) for organics and CLP RAS SOW ILM01.0 for

inorganics. These Quality Control (QC) checks will apply to all TCL organic and

TAL inorganic analyses including the TCLP extract.

CompuChem shall conform to the CLP SOW OLC01.0 in performing the internal

quality control checks, laboratory performance, and system audits for the low

concentration organic analysis. Warzyn will perform the internal quality control

checks, laboratory performance, and system audits specified in their SOP for low

concentration inorganic analyses (Appendix A).

Environmental Resources Management - North Central, Inc.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 9-2

Hauser will perform the internal quality control checks, laboratory performance audits, and system audits specified in their viscosity and general laboratory SOP (Appendices A and F). RMAL will perform the internal quality control checks, laboratory performance audits, and system audits specified in their specific gravity and general laboratory SOPs (Appendices A and C).

For non-CLP analyses, the internal QC checks are summarized below and discussed in further detail in their respective SOPs (Appendix A).

Analysis

TAL Inorganics (with low detection limits)

OC Check Method

| TCLP Extract Organics | Procedural and Solvent Blanks |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Surrogate Spikes |
| | Matrix Spike Duplicates |
| | Compound Identification Criteria |
| TCLP Extract Inorganics | Preparation Blanks |
| | Sample Spikes |
| | Sample Duplicates |
| | Laboratory Control Samples |
| | Detection Limits Report |
| | |

Same as TAL Inorganics

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A

January 22, 1992

Page 10-1

10.0 PERFORMANCE AND SYSTEM AUDITS

The description of performance and system audits provided in the approved QAPP, dated

November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with the following additions to the appropriate

subsections.

10.1 Internal Audits

The description of internal audits provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990,

is applicable as presented with a minor addition to Section 10.1.2.

10.1.1 Field Activities

Section 10.1.1 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

10.1.2 Laboratory Activities

Subsection 10.1.2 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented

with the following addition:

The performance and system audits performed by Skinner & Sherman, RMAL,

CompuChem, Warzyn, and Hauser are summarized on Table 10-1 and

documented in more detail in Appendices B,C,D,E, and F, respectively.

Environmental Resources Management - North Central, inc.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 10-2

10.2 External Audits

Section 10.2 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 11-1

11.0 PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE

The description of preventative maintenance provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with minor additions to Section 11.2.

11.1 Field Equipment

Section 11.1 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

11.2 Laboratory Equipment

Section 11.2 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with the following addition:

The preventative maintenance procedures for their laboratory equipment will be the responsibility of Skinner & Sherman, RMAL, CompuChem, Warzyn, and Hauser. Skinner & Sherman's, RMAL's, CompuChem's, Warzyn's, and Hauser's preventative maintenance programs are documented in Appendices B, C, D, E, and F, respectively.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1 December 30, 1991 Page 12-1

12.0 SPECIFIC ROUTINE PROCEDURES USED TO ASSESS DATA PRECISION, ACCURACY, AND COMPLETENESS

The specific routine procedures used to assess data precision, accuracy, and completeness provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, are applicable as presented.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 13-1

13.0 CORRECTIVE ACTION

The description of corrective action provided in the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with an addition to Subsection 13.2.

13.1 Immediate Corrective Action in the Field

Section 13.1 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

13.2 Immediate Corrective Action in the Laboratory

Section 13.2 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with the following addition.

Skinner & Sherman's, RMAL's ,CompuChem's, Warzyn's, and Hauser's procedures for immediate corrective action in the laboratory are presented in Appendices B, C,D,E, and F, respectively.

13.3 Other Corrective Action

Section 13.3 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented.

Lenz Oil Site RI/FS QAPP Addendum Revision: 1A January 22, 1992 Page 14-1

14.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORTS TO MANAGEMENT

Section 14.0 of the approved QAPP, dated November 12, 1990, is applicable as presented with the following addition.

Skinner & Sherman's, Warzyn's, Hauser's and CompuChem's Quality Assurance Officer (QAOs) will provide monthly quality assurance reports to the ERM-North Central's Project Manager. RMAL's Program Administrator will provide monthly QA reports to ERM. These reports will be reviewed by RMAL's QAO.

TABLE 1-1

TCLP TARGET PARAMETERS AND PROJECT-REQUIRED DETECTION LIMITS FOR NAPL ANALYSIS LENZ OIL SERIVCE, SERVICE INC. SITE (Page 1 of 2)

| Parameter | Project-Required Limits mg/L** |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Arsenic | 5.0 |
| Barium | 100.0 |
| Benzene | 0.5 |
| Cadmium | 1.0 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 0.5 |
| Chlordane | 0.03 |
| Chlorobezene | 100.0 |
| Chloroform | 6.0 |
| Chromium | 5.0 |
| 2-methyl phenol | 200.0 |
| 3-methyl phenol* | 200.0 |
| 4-methyl phenol* | 200.0 |
| 2,4-D | 10.0 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 7.5 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.5 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.7 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 0.13 |
| Endrin | 0.02 |
| Heptachlor (and its epoxide) | 0.008 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 0.13 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 0.5 |
| Hexachloroethane | 3.0 |

TABLE 1-1

TCLP TARGET PARAMETERS AND PROJECT-REQUIRED DETECTION LIMITS FOR NAPL ANALYSIS LENZ OIL SERIVCE, SERVICE INC. SITE (Page 2 of 2)

| Parameter | Project-Required Limits mg/L** |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Lead | 5.0 |
| Lindane | 0.4 |
| Mercury | 0.2 |
| Methoxychlor | 10.0 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | 200.0 |
| Nitrobenzene | 2.0 |
| Pentachlorophenol | 100.0 |
| Pyridine | 5.0 |
| Selenium | 1.0 |
| Silver | 5.0 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.7 |
| Toxaphene | 0.5 |
| Trichloroethene | 0.5 |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 400.0 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 2.0 |
| 2,4,5-TP (Silvex) | 1.0 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.2 |

^{* 3-}methyl phenol and 4-methyl phenol cannot be differentiated and will be reported as 3/4-methyl phenol.

^{**} RMAL reporting limits will be lower unless matrix or high levels of target compounds require dilution of sample.

TABLE 1-2 TCL AND TAL TARGET PARAMETERS AND PROJECT-REQUIRED DETECTION LIMITS FOR RESIDENTIAL WELL SAMPLES (Page 1 of 9)

| Volatiles | CAS Number | Quantitation Limits Water ug/L |
|------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| 1. Chloromethane | 74-87-3 | 1 |
| 2. Bromomethane | 74-83-9 | 1 |
| 3. Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | 1 |
| 4. Chloroethane | 75-00-3 | 1 |
| 5. Methylene Chloride | 75-09-2 | 2 |
| 6. Acetone | 67-64-1 | 5 |
| 7. Carbon Disulfide | 75-15-0 | 1 |
| 8. 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 1 |
| 9. 1,1-Dichloroethane | 75-34-3 | 1 |
| 10. cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-4 | 1 |
| 11. trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 1 |
| 12. Chloroform | 67-66-3 | 1 |
| 13. 1,2-Dichloroethane | 107-06-2 | 1 |
| 14. 2-Butanone | 78-93-3 | 5 |
| 15. Bromochloromethane | 74-97-5 | 1 |
| 16. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 71-55-6 | 1 |
| 17. Carbon Tetrachloride | 56-23-5 | 1 |
| 18. Bromodichloromethane | 75-27-4 | 1 |
| 19. 1,2-Dichloropropane | 78-87-5 | 1 |
| 20. cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-01-5 | 1 |
| 21. Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 1 |
| 22. Dibromochloromethane | 124-48-1 | 1 |
| 23. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 79-00-2 | 1 |

TABLE 1-2 TCL AND TAL TARGET PARAMETERS AND PROJECT-REQUIRED DETECTION LIMITS FOR RESIDENTIAL WELL SAMPLES (Page 2 of 9)

| 24. Benzene | 71-43-2 | 1 |
|---------------------------------|------------|---|
| 25. trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-02-6 | 1 |
| 26. Bromoform | 75-25-2 | 1 |
| 27. 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | 108-10-1 | 5 |
| 28. 2-Hexanone | 591-78-6 | 5 |
| 29. Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 1 |
| 30. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 79-34-5 | 1 |
| 31. 1,2-Dibromoethane | 106-93-4 | 1 |
| 32. Toluene | 108-88-03 | 1 |
| 33. Chlorobenzene | 108-90-7 | 1 |
| 34. Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 1 |
| | | |
| 35. Styrene | 100-42-5 | 1 |
| 36. Xylenes (total) | 1330-20-7 | 1 |
| 37. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 541-73-1 | 1 |
| 38. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 106-46-7 | 1 |
| 39. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 95-50-1 | 1 |
| 40. 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | 96-12-8 | 1 |

TABLE 1-2 TCL AND TAL TARGET PARAMETERS AND PROJECT-REQUIRED DETECTION LIMITS FOR RESIDENTIAL WELL SAMPLES (Page 3 of 9)

| Semivolatiles | CAS Number | Quantitation Limits Water ug/L |
|---------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| 1. Phenol | 108-95-2 | 5 |
| 2. bix-(2-Chloroethyl)ether | 111-44-4 | 5 |
| 3. 2-Chlorophenol | 95-57-8 | 5 |
| 4. 2-Methylphenol | 95-48-7 | 5 |
| 5. 2,2'-oxybis(1-Chloropropane) | 108-60-1 | 5 |
| 6. 4-Methylphenol | 106-44-5 | 5 |
| 7. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | 621-64-7 | 5 |
| 8. Hexachloroethane | 67-72-1 | 5 |
| 9. Nitrobenzene | 98-95-3 | 5 |
| 10. Isophorone | 79-59-1 | 5 |
| 11. 2-Nitrophenol | 88-75-5 | 5 |
| 12. 2,4-Dimethylphenol | 105-67-9 | 5 |
| 13. bix-(2-Chloroethoxy)methane | 11-91-1 | 5 |
| 14. 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 120-83-2 | 5 |
| 15. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 120-82-1 | 5 |
| 16. Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 5 |
| 17. 4-Chloroaniline | 106-47-8 | 5 |
| 18. Hexachlorobutadiene | 87-68-3 | 5 |
| 19. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | 59-50-7 | 5 |
| 20. 2-Methylnaphthalene | 91-57-6 | 5 |
| 21. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 77-47-4 | 5 |
| 22. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 88-06-2 | 5 |
| 23. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 95-95-4 | 20 |

TABLE 1-2 TCL AND TAL TARGET PARAMETERS AND PROJECT-REQUIRED DETECTION LIMITS FOR RESIDENTIAL WELL SAMPLES (Page 4 of 9)

| 24. 2-Chloronaphthalene | 91-58-7 | 5 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|----|
| 25. 2-Nitroaniline | 88-74-4 | 20 |
| 26. Dimethylphthalate | 131-11-3 | 5 |
| 27. Acenaphthylene | 208-96-8 | 5 |
| 28. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 606-20-2 | 5 |
| | | |
| 29. 3-Nitroaniline | 99-09-2 | 20 |
| 30. Acenaphthene | 83-32-9 | 5 |
| 31. 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 51-28-5 | 20 |
| 32. 4-Nitrophenol | 100-02-7 | 20 |
| 33. Dibenzofuran | 132-64-9 | 5 |
| 34. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 121-14-2 | 5 |
| 35. Diethylphthalate | 84-66-2 | 5 |
| 36. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether | 7005-72-3 | 5 |
| 37. Fluorene | 86-73-7 | 5 |
| 38. 4-Nitroaniline | 100-01-6 | 20 |
| 39. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | 534-52-1 | 20 |
| 40. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | 86-30-6 | 5 |
| 41. 4-Bromopheynl-phenylether | 101-55-3 | 5 |
| 42. Hezachlorobenzene | 118-74-1 | 5 |
| 43. Pentachlorophenol | 87-86-5 | 20 |
| | | |
| 44. Phenanthrene | 85-01-8 | 5 |
| 45. Anthracene | 120-12-7 | 5 |
| 46. Di-n-butylphthalate | 84-74-2 | 5 |
| 47. Fluoranthene | 206-44-0 | 5 |
| 48. Pyrene | 129-00-0 | 5 |

TABLE 1-2 TCL AND TAL TARGET PARAMETERS AND PROJECT-REQUIRED DETECTION LIMITS FOR RESIDENTIAL WELL SAMPLES (Page 5 of 9)

| 49. Butylbenzylphthalate | 85-68-7 | 5 |
|---------------------------------|----------|---|
| 50. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | 91-94-1 | 5 |
| 51. Benzo(a)anthracene | 56-55-3 | 5 |
| 52. Chrysene | 218-01-9 | 5 |
| 53. bis-(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate | 117-81-7 | 5 |
| | | |
| 54. Di-n-octylphthalate | 117-84-0 | 5 |
| 55. Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 205-99-2 | 5 |
| 56. Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 207-08-9 | 5 |
| 57. Benzo(a)pyrene | 50-32-8 | 5 |
| 58. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | 193-39-5 | 5 |
| | | |
| 59. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | 53-70-3 | 5 |
| 60. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | 191-24-2 | 5 |

TABLE 1-2 TCL AND TAL TARGET PARAMETERS AND PROJECT-REQUIRED DETECTION LIMITS FOR RESIDENTIAL WELL SAMPLES (Page 6 of 9)

| Pesticides/PCBs | CAS Number | Quantitation Limits Water ug/L |
|------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| 1. alpha-BHC | 319-84-6 | 0.01 |
| 2. beta-BHC | 319-85-7 | 0.01 |
| 3. delta-BHC | 319-36-8 | 0.01 |
| 4. gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 58-89-9 | 0.01 |
| 5. Heptachlor | 76-44-8 | 0.01 |
| | | |
| 6. Aldrin | 309-00-2 | 0.01 |
| 7. Heptachlor epoxide | 1024-57-3 | 0.01 |
| 8. Endosulfan I | 959-98-8 | 0.01 |
| 9. Dieldrin | 60-57-1 | 0.02 |
| 10. 4,4' - DDE | 72-55-9 | 0.02 |
| | | |
| 11. Endrin | 72-20-8 | 0.02 |
| 12. Endosulfan II | 33213-65-9 | 0.02 |
| 13. 4,4' - DDD | 72-54-8 | 0.02 |
| 14. Endosulfan sulfate | 1031-07-8 | 0.02 |
| 15. 4,4' - DDT | 50-29-3 | 0.02 |
| | | |
| 16. Methoxychlor | 72-43-5 | 0.10 |
| 17. Endrin ketone | 53494-70-5 | 0.02 |
| 18. Endrin aldehyde | 7421-36-3 | 0.02 |
| 19. alpha-Chlordane | 5103-71-9 | 0.01 |
| 20. gamma-Chlordan | 5103-74-2 | 0.01 |
| | | |
| 21. Toxaphene | 8001-35-2 | 1.0 |
| 22. Aroclor-1016 | 12674-11-2 | 0.20 |
| 23. Aroclor-1221 | 11104-11-2 | 0.20 |

TABLE 1-2 TCL AND TAL TARGET PARAMETERS AND PROJECT-REQUIRED DETECTION LIMITS FOR RESIDENTIAL WELL SAMPLES (Page 7 of 9)

| 24. Aroclor-1232 | 11141-16-5 | 0.40 | |
|------------------|------------|------|--|
| 25. Aroclor-1242 | 53469-21-9 | 0.20 | |
| | | | |
| 26. Aroclor-1248 | 12672-29-6 | 0.20 | |
| 27. Aroclor-1254 | 11097-69-1 | 0.20 | |
| 28. Aroclor-1260 | 11096-82-5 | 0.20 | |

TABLE 1-2 TCL AND TAL TARGET PARAMETERS AND PROJECT-REQUIRED DETECTION LIMITS FOR RESIDENTIAL WELL SAMPLES (Page 8 of 9)

| | Required Instrument Detection Limit ¹ ug/L | | | Required Matrix Spike Concentrations ug/L | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|------|------|--|--------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Compound | GFAA ICP Other | | GFAA | ICP | Other | | | | | |
| Aliminum | | 100 | | | 2000 | | | | | |
| Antimony ² | 5 | | | 20 | 500 | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 5 | | | 20 | | | | | | |
| Barium | | 50 | | | 2000 | | | | | |
| Beryllium | | 5 | | | 50 | | | | | |
| Cadmium ² | 0.5 | | | 2 | 50 | | | | | |
| Calcium ³ | | 1000 | | | 50,000 | | | | | |
| Chromium | | 10 | | | 200 | | | | | |
| Cobalt | | 10 | | | 500 | | | | | |
| Copper | | 10 | | | 250 | | | | | |
| Iron | | 100 | | | 1000 | | | | | |
| Lead ² | 2 | | | 20 | 500 | | | | | |
| Magnesium ³ | | 1000 | | | 25,000 | | | | | |
| Manganese | | 10 | | | 200 | | | | | |
| Mercury | | | 0.2 | | | 1.0 | | | | |
| Nickel | | 20 | | | 400 | | | | | |
| Potassium ³ | | | 2000 | | 20,000 | | | | | |
| Selenium | 2 | | | 10 | | | | | | |
| Silver | 5 | | | | 50 | | | | | |
| Sodium ³ | | | 1000 | | 50,000 | | | | | |
| Thallium | 2 | | | 20 | | | | | | |
| Vanadium | 10 | | | | 500 | | | | | |
| Zinc | | 20 | | | 200 | | | | | |
| Cyanide | | | 10 | | | 100 | | | | |

TABLE 1-2 TCL AND TAL TARGET PARAMETERS AND PROJECT-REQUIRED DETECTION LIMITS FOR RESIDENTIAL WELL SAMPLES (Page 9 of 9)

- ¹ Instrument Detection Limits (IDL) must be met before any samples are analyzed. THe Lab may submit their quarterly Form XI with each case if all IDLs meet the detection limits. If limits cannot be met by using ICP, use of GFAA required.
- ² ICP analysis results may only be reported for Sb, Cd and Pb, if the concentration is ≥ 10 times the IDL of instrument used. If ICP results are reported, all ICP audits are required including matrix spike.
- ³ Report Ca, Mg, Na, and K on separate Form V for Matrix Spike if a separate aliquot is used for this spike.

Table 1-3

Summary of Phase II Sampling and Analysis Program

Lenz Oil Service, Inc. RI/FS

(Page 1 of 2)

| | | | | | | | | | | | QA Sa | mples | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-----|------------------|-------|-----|---------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-----|-------|-------|--------|
| | | | | | | vestiga Sampl | | D | uplicat | e | _ Fi | eld Blan | k | MS | /MSD | | Matrix |
| Sample Matrix | Field Parameters | Laboratory | Method | Laboratory Parameter | No. | Freq | Total | No. | Freq | Total | No. | Freq. | Total | No. | Freq. | Total | Total |
| Ground Water | -pH, Temperature | ARDL | see Note (1) | TCL Volatile Organics | 23 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 29 |
| Samples From | -Specific Conductivity | RMAL | see Note (1) | TCL Semivolatile Organics | 23 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 29 |
| Monitoring Wells | -Water Level | RMAL | see Note (1) | TCL Pest/PCBs | 23 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 29 |
| | -Qualitative Description | Skinner | see Note (2) | TAL Dissolved Metals | 23 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| | of Water | Skinner | see Note (2) | TAL Cyanide | 23 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| | -Qualitative Organic Vapor Screening with HNu | Skinner | see Note (2) | TAL Total Metals | 23 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| NAPL Sample | -Qualitative Description | RMAL | see Note (3) | TCLP Organics & Inorganics | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| - | of Oil | RMAL | see Note (4) | TCL Volatile Organics | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | -Qualitative Organic | RMAL | see Note (4) | TCL Semivolatile Organics | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | Vapor Screening with HNu | RMAL | see Note (4) | TCL Pest/PCBs | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | • | RMAL | see Note (2) | TAL Cyanide | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | | RMAL | see Note (2) | TAL Total Metals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | | RMAL | RMAL SOP | Specific Gravity | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Hauser | ASTM | Viscosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Residental Well | -pH, Temperature | CompuChem | see Note (4) | TCL Volatile Organics | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Samples | -Specific Conductivity | CompuChem | see Note (4) | TCL Semivolatile Organics | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| - | -Qualitative Description | CompuChem | see Note (4) | TCL Pest/PCBs | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| | of Water | Warzyn | see Note (4) | TAL Cyanide | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | -Qualitative Organic Vapor Screening with HNu | Warzyn | see Note (4) | TAL Total Metals | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Surface Water Samples | -pH, Temperature -Specific Conductivity -Qualitative Description | Skinner | see Note (3) | TAL Total Metals (see note below) | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |

Table 1-3

Summary of Phase II Sampling and Analysis Program Lenz Oil Service, Inc. RI/FS (Page 2 of 2)

| | | | | | | | | QA Samples | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------|-------|-----------|------------|---------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | Investigative | | | | Rinsate | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Samples | | Duplicate | | (Field Blank) | | MS/MSD | | Matrix | | | | |
| Sample Matrix | Field Parameters | Laboratory | Method | Laboratory Parameter | No. | Freq. | Total | No. | Freq | Total | No. | Freq. | Total | No. | Freq. | Total | Total |
| On-Site Soil | -Qualitative Description | ARDL | see Note (1) | TCL Volatile Organics | 41 | 1 | 41 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 51 |
| Samples | of Soil | ARDL | see Note (1) | TCL Semivolatile Organics | 41 | 1 | 41 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 51 |
| - | -Qualitative Organic | ARDL | see Note (1) | TCL Pest/PCBs | 41 | 1 | 41 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 51 |
| | Vapor Screening with HNu | Skinner | see Note (2) | TAL Total Metals | 33 | 1 | 33 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| | | Skinner | see Note (2) | TAL Cyanide | 33 | 1 | 33 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Background Soil | -Qualitative Description | ARDL | see Note (1) | TCL Volatile Organics | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Samples | of Soil | ARDL | see Note (1) | TCL Semivolatile Organics | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | -Qualitative Organic | ARDL | see Note (1) | TCL Pest/PCBs | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Vapor Screening with HNu | Skinner | see Note (2) | TAL Total Metals | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | | Skinner | see Note (2) | TAL Cyanide | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 . | 3 |

KEY: ARDL = Applied Research Development Laboratory

RMAL = Rocky Mountain Analytical Laboratory

CompuChem = CompuChem Laboratories, Inc.

Skinner = Skinner & Sherman Laboratory, Inc.

Warzyn = Warzyn, Inc.

Hauser = Hauser Laboratory, Inc.

TCL = Target Compound List

TAL = Target Analyte List

TCLP = Toxicity Characteristics Leaching Procedures

Pest/PCBs = Pesticides/PCB

ASTM = American Society for Testing and Materials

MS/MSD = Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

NAPL = Nonaqueous Phased Liquids

NOTES: (1) Method CLP RAS SOW OLMOI.0

- (2) Method CLP RAS SOW ILM01.0
- (3) SW846-1311 for sample preparation only. Analysis using method in Note (1&2).
- (4) Low concentration samples using CLP SOW OLCOLO for organics and Warzyn's SOPs (Appx. A) for inorganics.
- -Field Blank samples for soil matrix samples will be equipment rinsate samples.
- -Water samples analyzed for TAL dissolved metals will be field filtered prior to sample preservation.
- -Total metals samples will not be field filtered.
- -Metals samples will be preserved with HNO3; cyanide samples with NaOH; volatile organic compound samples with HCl.
- -One trip blank sample consisting of two 40-ml glass vials filled with organic-free deionized water will be included with each shipment of aqueous samples targeted for volatile organic analysis.
- -Surface water samples will only be analyzed for the TAL metals rejected during the Phase I sampling round.
- -MS/MSD samples are not included in the Matrix Total.

TABLE 3-1

PROJECT-REQUIRED PRECISION AND ACCURACY REQUIREMENTS FOR LABORATORY ANALYSES

| Parameter | Accuracy (percent) | Precision (percent) | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| TCL Volatiles | CLP | CLP | | | |
| TCL Semivolatiles | CLP | CLP | | | |
| TCL PCBs/Pesticides | CLP | CLP | | | |
| TAL Cyanide | CLP | CLP | | | |
| TCLP Extract Analysis (Organics and Inorganics) | CLP | CLP | | | |
| Low Concentration Analysis (organic, | CLP | CLP | | | |
| Low Concentration Analysis (Inorganic) | Warzyn's SOPs Attachment A | Warzyn's SOPs Attachment A | | | |
| Viscosity | N/A | N/A | | | |
| Specific Gravity | N/A | N/A | | | |

Notes:

"CLP" means the accuracy and precision requirements will be those specified in the appropriate CLP SOW.

"N/A" means that precision and accuracy requirements are not applicable to the specified laboratory analysis.

TABLE 4-1 SAMPLE VOLUME, CONTAINERS AND PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES FOR WATER AND SOIL SAMPLES Page 1 of 1

| MATRIX | ANALYTICAL FRACTION | CONTAINER | PRESERVATION | FILLING PROCEDURES | MAXIMUM HOLDING TIMES |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Water | TCL Volatile Organic Compounds | 3 - 40 ml glass vials with Teflon-lined septums | Cool - 4 degrees Celsius HCl to pH <2 | Zero headspace - no air bubbles | 14 days |
| Water | TCL Semivolatile Organic Compounds | 2 - 1 liter amber glass bottles with Teflon-lined caps | Cool - 4 degrees Celsius | Fill to neck of bottle | 7 days from collection or 5 days from VISR, 40 additional days for analysis |
| Water | PCBs/Pesticides | 2 - 1 liter amber glass bottles with Teflon-lined caps | Cool - 4 degrees Celsius | Fill to neck of bottle | 7 days from collection or 5 days from VISR, |
| Water | TAL Dissolved Metals | 1 - 1 liter polyethylene bottle | Nitric acid to pH<2 Cool - 4 degrees Celsius | Field filter and fill to neck of bottle | 40 additional days for analysis 6 months except for Fig which is 26 days |
| Water | TAL Total Metals | 1 - 1 liter polyethylene bottle | Nitric Acid to pH<2 Cool • 4 degrees Celsius | Fill to neck of bottle | 6 months except for Hg which is 26 days |
| Water | Cyanide | 1 - 1 liter polyethylene bottle | Sodium hydroxide to pH>12 Cool - 4 degrees Celsius | Fill to neck of bottle | 14 days |
| Soil | TCL Volatile Organic Compounds | 2 - 4 oz. wide-mouth glass bottles | Cool - 4 degrees Celsius | Fill completely, no head space | 10 days |
| Soil | TCL Semivolatile Organic Compounds PCBs/Pesticides | 1 - 1 liter wide-mouth glass bottle | Cool - 4 degrees Celsius | Fill completely | 10 days |
| Soil | TAL Total Metals/Cyanide | 1 - 8 oz. wide-mouth glass bottle | Cool - 4 degrees Celsius | 3/4 Full | 6 months for metals, 14 days for cyanide |
| Soil | TCLP Metals | 2 - 1 liter amber, wide mouth glass jar with Teflon-Lined lid. | None | Fill to neck of bottle | Per SW846-131 protocol |
| NAPL | TCL Volatile Organic Compounds | 1 - 8 oz. wide-mouth glass bottle | None | Zero headspace-no air bubbles | 14 days |
| NAPL | TCL Semivolatile Organic Compounds PCBs/Pesticides | 1 - 8 oz. wide-mouth glass bottle | None | Not applicable | 7 days from collection or 5 days from VTSR, |
| NAPL | TAL Total Metals/Cyanide | To be taken from the semivolatiles bottle | None | Fill to neck of bottle | 40 additional days for analysis 6 months except for Hg which is 26 days |
| NAPL | Viscosity/Specific gravity | To be taken from the 32-oz TCLP bottle | None | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| NAPL | TCLP | 1 - 32 oz. wide-mouth glass bottle 1 - 4 oz. wide-mouth glass bottle | None | Zero headspace-no air bubbles | Per SW846-131 protocal |

KEY: VISR = Verified Time of Sample Receipt NAPL = Non-Aqueous Phased Liquid

Table 10-1

Summary of Laboratory Performance and System Audits

Lenz Oil Service, Inc. Site

| Laboratory | Audit Type | Audit Frequency | Auditor | | | | |
|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Skinner & | WS/WP PES | Quarterly | USEPA | | | | |
| Sherman | NYDOH | Quarterly | N.Y. State | | | | |
| Sherman | Internal Lab Procedure | Semiannually | Lab QAO | | | | |
| | Blind PE samples | Quarterly | USEPA | | | | |
| | (inorganics only) | Quarterly | | | | | |
| | System Audit | Annually | Corporate QA Program | | | | |
| | | | Manager | | | | |
| RMAL | WS/WP PES | Semiannually | USEPA | | | | |
| | Internal Lab Procedure | Quarterly | Lab QAO | | | | |
| | Blind PE samples | Quarterly | USEPA | | | | |
| | Site Audit | Annually | Corporate QA Program Manager | | | | |
| | Site Audit | Not Specified | USEPA | | | | |
| | Site Audit | Not Specified | Army Corp of Engineers | | | | |
| | Blind PE samples | Not Specified | Army Corp of Engineers | | | | |
| | Site Audit | Not Specified | U.S. Navy - NEESA | | | | |
| | Blind PE samples | Not Specified | U.S. Navy - NEESA | | | | |
| CompuChem | WS/WP PES | Semiannually | USEPA | | | | |
| • | Internal Lab Procedure | Quarterly | Lab QAO | | | | |
| | Blind PE samples | Quarterly | USEPA | | | | |
| | Internal Lab Procedure | Quarterly | Lab QAO | | | | |
| Warzyn | Double Blind PES | Quarterly | Lab QAO | | | | |
| | WS PES | Semiannually | USEPA | | | | |
| | WP PES | Semiannually | USEPA | | | | |
| | Single Blind PE (inorganic) | Quarterly | USEPA-CLP | | | | |
| | Single Blind PE samples | As required | New Jersey DEP | | | | |
| | Site Audit | 3 years | Wisconsin DNR | | | | |
| | Site Audit | 2 years | EPA Region V | | | | |
| | Site Audit | Project Basis | EPA Region V | | | | |

KEY: CompuChem = CompuChem Laboratories, Inc.

RMAL = Rocky Mountain Analytical Laboratory

NYDOH = New York Department of Health

PE = Performance Evaluation QA = Quality Assurance

QAO = Quality Assurance Officer

New Jersey DEP = New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection